OPEN

Research Article



Available on https://www.joarps.org Journal of Applied Research in Plant Sciences (JOARPS) ISSN: 2708-3004 (Online), 2708-2997 (Print)



ACCESS

Genome-wide Analysis of Plant Specific YABBY Transcription Factor Gene Family in Watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) and Arabidopsis

Mehr-ul-Nisa¹, Muhammad Shafiq¹, Mujahid Manzoor^{*2}, Muhammad Bilal³, Tariq Manzoor⁶, Muhammad Ali², Malik Muazzam Anees¹, Muhammad Rizwan⁴, Muhammad Zeeshan Haider⁵, Adnan Sami⁵, Muhammad Saleem Haider⁶

¹Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan ²Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan ³Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

⁴Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

⁵Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of the Punjab, P.O BOX. 54590, Lahore, Pakistan

⁶Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of the Punjab, P.O BOX. 54590, Lahore, Pakistan

*Corresponding Authors Email; <u>mujahid.iags@pu.edu.pk</u>

Article Received 10-03-2023, Article Revised 10-10-2023, Article Accepted 21-10-2023.

Abstract

The YABBY gene family is a specific transcription factor for plants and a DNA binding domain that carries out several different functions, such as regulating the length of blooming plant styles and the polarity of lateral organ development. The YABBY gene family members were identified in the watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus subsp. vulgaris* var. 97103 V1) genome using a set of bioinformatics techniques. Protein motifs, protein architectures, protein sequences, miRNA targets, and tissue-specific expression patterns were all examined. All chromosomes had an uneven distribution of about eight putative YABBY genes. Inner No Outer INO, CRC (Crabs Claw), YAB2, YAB3/AFO, and YAB5 were the five subgroups that the YABBY proteins in watermelon fall within, in accordance with the accepted Arabidopsis categorization which is based on International Standards of Nomenclature. Segmental duplication was more frequent than tandem duplication, and it was predominantly responsible for the growth of the YABBY gene family in watermelon. The results of tissue-specific expression profiling of ClYAABY genes showed that the vast majority of these genes were substantially expressed in roots and seedlings. In this study, cis-regulatory element (CRE) analyses were employed to identify elements in seedlings and roots that are highly responsive to light, wound, drought, auxin, stress, salicylic acid, and abscisic acid (ABA). The findings reveal specific CREs within the promoter regions of genes associated with these responses. Five groups or sub-families have also been identified by comparing the YABBY genes in watermelon and Arabidopsis, however the CRC and YAB2 groups do not share gene pairing among the other groups. This research contributes to a deeper understanding of plant adaptability and stress response mechanisms, with implications for agriculture and plant science.

Keywords: Watermelon, Gene family, Genomic analysis, Specific plant transcription factors, YABBY.

Introduction

Being a sessile organism (which cannot move) by nature, plants are constantly subjected to a variety of external stresses, which impair the biochemical and functional processes occurring within them (Sharif *et al.*, 2020). Several plant transcription factors (Tfs) cause the corresponding gene expression in response to such biotic and abiotic stresses (Li *et al.*, 2019; Zhao *et al.*, 2017). A tiny gene family known as the *YABBY* TFs is unique to seed plants (Yin *et al.*, 2022), typically classified as a subfamily of the zinc-finger super family (Y.-Y. Chen *et al.*, 2020). A collection of TFs with two conserved domains were encoded by the *YABBY* protein. The N-terminal (Cys2 Cys2) zinc-finger domain and the C-terminal (helix-loop-helix) domain are the two conserved domains (Song, Joshi, DiPiazza, & Joshi, 2020). Both domains retain highly sealed amino acid (AA) residues and participate in the particular binding of DNA (Sawa *et al.*, 1999). The growth and development of lateral organs (Bowman, Smyth, & Meyerowitz,

1989), establishment of adaxial-abaxial polarity (Kumaran, Bowman, & Sundaresan, 2002), expansion of leaves (Eckardt, 2010), development of leaf edges (Finet et al., 2016), and the response to stress are significantly influenced by YABBY TFs (Zhao et al., 2017). The YABBY family was divided into five subfamilies by phylogenetic analysis: the CRABS CLAW (CRC), FILAMENTOUS FLOWER (FIL)/YABBY3 (YAB3), INNER NO OUTER (INO), YABBY2 (YAB2), and YABBY5 (YAB5) (Bowman, 2000; Yamada, Ito, & Kato, 2004). Moreover, CRC helps to create the polarity of developing carpels and nectarines, while FIL, YAB3, YAB2, and YAB5 excessively encourage the development of lateral organs (Siegfried et al., 1999). The remaining INO has a variety of functions that help the ovule's outer integument, a layer of cells that surrounds the nucleus, grow into the seed coat (Villanueva et al., 1999). Based on their crucial developmental roles, YABBY genes have undergone extensive genomic analysis in plants since it's crucial to understand how they relate to one another among species or even within a single species. 6 YABBY genes have been found in Arabidopsis thaliana, 9 in Pineapple (Li et al., 2019), 7 in Grapevine (Zhang et al., 2019), 11 CmoYABBYs in Cucurbita moschata, 12 CmaYABBYs in Cucurbita maxima, 11 CpeYABBYs in Cucurbita pepo (Yuan et al., 2020), 12 in Brassica rapa ssp. Chinensis (Hou, Wu, Gao, Zhang, & Hou, 2019), 21 in Triticum aestivum (wheat) (Buttar et al., 2020), 9 in Tuberosum lycopersicum (Tomato) (Huang, Van Houten, Gonzalez, Xiao, & van der Knaap, 2013), 8 in Oriza sativa (Rice) (Toriba et al., 2007), 12 in Gossypium arboreum, 12 G. raimondii and 23 in G. hirsutum (Upland Cotton) (Zhaoen Yang et al., 2018). The Cucurbitaceae family includes the watermelon, Citrullus lanatus subsp. vulgaris var. 97103 V1. It originates from tropical regions of Africa close to the Kalahari Desert (Kyriacou, Leskovar, Colla, & Rouphael, 2018; Naz, Butt, Sultan, Qayyum, & Niaz, 2014). Botanists typically refer to it as a "pepo," a fruit with a thick skin and fleshy core (Mehra, Pasricha, & Gupta, 2015). Because of its refreshing texture, appealing colour, delicate and sweet flavor, and high water content to alleviate summer thirst, it is typically consumed as a pleasant summer fruit that is well cherished by customers (Romdhane et al., 2017). Watermelon fruits produce 55.3% juice, 31.5% rind, and 10.4% pomace (Maoto, Beswa, & Jideani, 2019; Oberoi & Sogi, 2017). Using various bioinformatics tools, the primary goal of this study was to locate and characterize the genes belonging to the YABBY TFs family in the Watermelon genome. To put it briefly, YABBY genes from the watermelon genome were identified using methodical way i.e. Phylogenetic Analysis, Synteny Conserved Analysis and Motif Analysis etc.Investigations were also conducted into their chromosomal distribution, intron/exon distribution pattern, presence of conserved domains, and cisregulatory elements. The wide-ranging genome assessment of *YABBY* genes presents an orientation for cloning and functioning properties in watermelon. Investigations revealed genome-wide distribution and the role of *YABBY* genes in watermelon with the best-fit comparison to Arabidopsis thaliana.

Materials and methods

Database search and sequence retrieval: By using ID PF04690 (http://pfam.xfam.org/) the AA sequence for the YABBY PSTrFs (Plant specific Transcription factors) was obtained from the peptide genome of Arabidopsis thaliana (Accession No. A0A1P8APE2) and contains 164 amino acids (Mistry et al., 2021). The Cucurbit Database (CuGenDB) Genomics (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/) was used to Blast-p (Protein against Protein search tool) this sequence against the watermelon genome. The obtained amino acid sequences were investigated using the default parameters of the NCBI CDD (Conserved Domain Database) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) (Lu et al., 2020) and the simple modular architecture research tool (SMART) available at (http:// smart.emblheidelberg.de)(Letunic & Bork, 2018). Any identified proteins that did not include the YABBY conserved domain were ruled out.

Investigation of physio-chemical characteristics of ClYABBY proteins: Through using ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/), the length (AA residues), molecular weight (MW), and theoretical pI of ClYABBY proteins were estimated (Gasteiger et al., 2005). The (CuGenDB) was utilized to obtain information on gene IDs, chromosomal locations, and protein and gene sequences(http://cucurbitgenomics.org/). According to the order of their physical placements, these ClYABBY genes were given new names such as Cl initials of Scientific Name of Watermelon and Yabby As an transcription factor WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/), an online tool, was used to estimate ClYABBY's subcellular localization (Horton, Park, Obayashi, & Nakai, 2006).

Gene structure analysis: In order to investigate the intron/exon organization of ClYABBY, the genomic and coding sequences of identified genes were collected from the Cucurbit Genomics Database (CuGenDB)(<u>http://cucurbitgenomics.org</u>). Additionally, the watermelon genome's gf3 file was acquired from the Cucurbit Genomics Database (CuGenDB). With the use of the Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS v2.0) (Hu *et al.*, 2015) (located at <u>http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/</u>), these sequences were then used to depict the gene structure.

Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis: The *YABBY* protein's amino acid sequences were aligned with Clustal W version 2.1 (Thompson, Higgins, & Gibson, 1994), and the phylogeny was built using MEGA X v2.0 (Kumar, Stecher, Li, Knyaz, & Tamura, 2018) with neighbour-joining (NJ) and bootstrapping set at 1000 replications with partial deletion. Eight watermelons, thirteen musk melons, seven potatoes, and six *Arabidopsis YABBY* protein sequences were employed for the phylogenetic study.

CRE and conserved motif analysis: For each putative ClYABBY gene, a sequence 1000 bp upstream of the initiation codon was obtained for the investigation of the promoter regions. Following this, CREs in these sequences were predicted using the PlantCare database (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/pl antcare/html/) (Rombauts, Déhais, Van Montagu, & Rouzé, 1999), and their validity was checked against the PLACE databases (http://www.dna.afrc.go.jp/PLACE/) (Higo, Ugawa, Iwamoto, & Higo, 1998) (Higo, Ugawa, Iwamoto, & Korenaga, 1999). The predicted protein sequences of the ClYABBY were used to assess motifs using Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation (MEME) (http://meme.nbcr.net/meme/) with a maximum number of motifs set at 20 (Bailey, Johnson, Grant, & Noble, 2015). Along with other variables, the default values for motif widths were set to 6 and 50, respectively.

Gene duplication and synteny analysis of Watermelon (Cucurbita Family): Using Ks and Ka values, the watermelon YABBY gene family's timing of divergence was calculated. TB Tbtools was used to determine the Ka and Ks substitution rates and computed Ka/Ks ratios according to the instructions in the software package manuals. For each pair of paralogous genes, the rates of molecular evolution were determined using the Ka/Ks ratios. T=Ks/2 was used to calculate the time of divergence (T), where λ is equal to $1.5 \times 10-8$ (P. Wang et al., 2019). With the default settings, the Multiple Collinearity Scan toolkit (MCScanX) was employed to investigate the gene duplication occurrences (Y. Wang, Li, & Paterson, 2013). The syntenic analysis map was created using Tbtools' Micro Synteny view software to show the synteny association of the paralogous YABBY genes isolated from the watermelon (C. Chen et al., 2020). By Using Tb Tools dual synetnic comparison between watermelon and melon, watermelon and musa, watermelon and Arabidopsis, watermelon and bottle gourd was found out.

Transcriptome analysis: We gathered previously generated RNA sequence data using high through put sequencing for watermelon plant tissues, including leaves and stems at seedling stage under semi-controlled environment and open field, to examine the organ-specific expression profile of *ClYABBY* at various development stages (Song, Joshi, DiPiazza, & Joshi, 2020). The Reads Per Kilobases per Million mapped reads (RPKM) values from RNA-seq data were log2 converted for expression profiling. Heatmap Illustrator in TBtools displays expression patterns with hierarchical clustering (Chen *et al.*, 2020).

Putative microRNA and target site analysis: The CDS sequences of all watermelon *ClYABBY* genes were searched for sequences complementary to miRNAs using psRNATarget

(https://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/analysis?funct ion=3/) (Samad, 2017) using default parameters in order to find miRNAs that potentially target the watermelon ClYABBY genes. Later, the NCBI website was searched for these putative microRNAs' functions, and references were noted.

Results

Identification of the YABBY genes in watermelon: The sequence of the YABBY domain was BLAST searched against the watermelon's entire genome sequence that was received from the (CuGenDB) in order to discover the YABBY genes. There were 27 Proteins found during an initial study. Proteins with a truncated YABBY DNA-binding domain and those produced by the same gene isoform were excluded from the study. Eight distinct ClYABBY genes were discovered and further investigated. The highly conserved YABBY domain was present in these non-redundant *YABBY* protein sequences from watermelons. Eight of the 27 amino acids reported to be present in the highly conserved watermelon YABBY domain sequences were found to be 100% conserved in all YABBY domain sequences (Fig. S1), while the remaining 21 amino acids were found to be varied in all ClYABBY proteins. The ClYABBY genes code proteins with a MW range of 18.74 to 26.91 kDa and a length of 169 to 242 AA, with ClYABBY8 being the shortest and ClYABBY1 is the longest protein (Table 1). The discovered proteins have Ip ranging from 7.70 to 9.14.

Gene structure and recognition of conserved motifs and domains: The structure of exons and introns serves as the framework for genes and facilitates the study of evolutionary links among genes or species (Koralewski & Krutovsky, 2011). A gene family can be identified by their numbers and dispersion patterns due to evolution. Phylogenetic analysis and a thorough demonstration of the exon-intron architectures of the watermelon YABBY genes showed that the gene structural pattern coincided with the phylogenetic analysis. In watermelon, there were four to six different introns (Fig.S2; Table 1). Four genes, namely ClYABBY3, ClYABBY5, ClYABBY6, and ClYABBY7, each include five introns, whereas only two genes, ClYABBY8, and ClYABBY4, each contain six introns. Only one gene, ClYABBY2, contains four introns (Table 1; Fig.S2). While the ClYABBY genes in subfamily YAB5 have six introns, all of the ClYABBY genes in subfamily INO have five introns. Some of the watermelon's YABBY genes have four introns, similar to the YABBY genes examined in many species, but others have up to six introns (Table 1; Fig. S2). The MEME tool was used to determine the locations of 20 motifs across all of the watermelon YABBY proteins (Fig. 2). All of the ClYABBY proteins have the YABBY domain, which was

present in all of them. The observation that the YABBY genes in the same group encode similar motifs leads to the conclusion that these conserved motifs play a crucial role in the activities that are unique to a group or subgroup. With the exception of subgroup YAB5, *ClYABBY8* has certain motifs that are distinct from *ClYABBY4*. The identical *YABBY* domain is encoded by all 8 watermelon *YABBY* genes. Similar pattern distribution among different *YABBY* genes shows that these genes might have evolved as a result of gene expansion

Table 1: Information about discovered 8 YABBY genes in watermelon genome. Accession Number, Chromosome number and
location, gene direction, Amino acid sequence length, Molecular weight, Isoelectric point (Pi-value), No. of Introns and Exons.

YABBY Accession Number		Number	Chromosome	Chromosome Location (bp)		D'	NO. of Amino	Molecular Weight	Pi- Value	No.of Introns	No.of Exons
gene			Number	Start	Fnd	Direction	Acids	(kD)			
Name	V1	WCG		Start	End						
ClYABBY	Cla01155	ClCG01	1	37688	2771272	R	242	26.01	0 72	6	7
1	7	G003810	1	30	30 3771373		242	20.91	0.75		
ClYABBY	Cla00567	ClCG10	10	35219	2525227	р	100	20.77	075	4	5
2	6	G003000	10	20	5525557	ĸ	100	20.77	8.75		
ClYABBY	Cla00861	ClCG02	2	32629	3263496	р	170	1974	9.08	5	6
3	0	G022510	2	974	2	ĸ	170	10.74			
ClYABBY	Cla01670	ClCG11	11	23955	2395963	Б	102	21.62	8.82	6	7
4	0	G003140	11	965	9	Г	192	21.02			
ClYABBY	Cla00080	ClCG08	0	18956	1895751	р	196	20.70	7.70	5	6
5	7	G017890	0	326	9	ĸ	180	20.70			
ClYABBY	Cla01007	ClCG05	2	32287	3228828	р	160	10.07	8.41	5	6
6	3	G025460	5	212	2	K	109	19.07			
ClYABBY	Cla01023	ClCG05	5	31061	3106270	Б	100	20.00	9.14	5	6
7	1	G023780	5	561	7	Г	100	20.90			
ClYABBY	Cla01222	ClCG06	6	20045	2004837	р	160	10.00	8.24	6	7
8	6	G011300	0	189	9	ĸ	109	16.82			



Figure. 2. The distribution of 15 motifs on 8 *YABBY* proteins of Watermelon by using MEME version 4.9.0. The bars represent motifs with different color codes for different types of motifs.

Comparative phylogenetic relatedness of watermelon gene family with Arabidopsis: (Table 2; Fig. 1). The Eight *ClYABBY* proteins were found to be spread across five groupings, according to the results of phylogenetic study as AtINO, AtCRC, AtYAB5, AtAFO/AtYAB3, and AtYAB2 (Table 2; Fig. 1). Six YABBY proteins, including one from Arabidopsis (AtINO), were found in the group AtINO. The other members of the group were ClYABBY5, ClYABBY6, CmYABBY9, CmYABBY10, and StYABBY2. AtFIL, ClYABBY7, CmYABBY12, CmYABBY11, and CmYABBY7 were all members of the AtCRC group, which consists of five YABBY-like proteins. Seven YABBY proteins were found in the AtYAB5 group, including one from one from the Arabidopsis AtYAB5 plant, two from the watermelon ClYABBY8, ClYABBY4, three from the musk melon *CmYABBY4*, *CmYABBY5*, *CmYABBY8*, and one from the potato *StYABBY1*. There were nine *YABBY*-like proteins in the AtAFO, including two from the Arabidopsis AtAFO, AtYAB3, two from watermelon, *ClYABBY1*, *ClYABBY2*, three from musk melon, *CmYABBY1*, *CmYABBY2*, *CmYABBY3* and two from the potato, *StYABBY3*, *StYABBY4*. The last group, AtYAB2, contained six *YABBY4*. The last group, AtYAB2, contained six *YABBY7*. Individuals belong to the watermelon *ClYABBY5*, *StYABBY7*. Individuals belonging to the same clade exhibit the same structure and behavior (Fig 1). Therefore, it was determined that proteins from related clades have comparable functions **Table 2**.



Fig 1: Phylogenetic Relationship among *YABBY* genes of *C. lanatus, S. tuberosum, A. thaliana, C. sativus,* and *C. maxima* was studied. *C. lanatus* genes are marked with red triangle. The evolutionary history was inferred using the NJ method with 1000 Bootstrap. This analysis involved 34 *YABBY* genes. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA 11.

Table 2: Gene ontology enrichment analysis of *CIYABBY* genes their GO functions, Sub-Cellular localization Signal Genes expression, Orthologs in Arabidopsis and their functions.

Gene ID	GO Function		Sub-Cellular Localization	Gene expression	Ortholog in Arabidopsis		
	Molecular Biological process				Cellular	Gene Name	Function
	Function		component				
ClYABBY1	DNA-binding	chromatin assembly or	transcription	Peroxisomes	Leaves	YABBY3	Axial regulator
	transcription	disassembly,	factor				
	factor activity	regulation of	complex				
		transcription, DNA-					
		templated, stomatal					
		complex					

Nisa et al.,

11111.00	041/95.018						
		morphogenesis, iron- sulfur cluster assembly, plant ovule development, abaxial cell fate specification					
CIYABBY2		specification of floral organ identity, abaxial cell fate specification, specification of animal organ position, inflorescence meristem growth		Nucleus	Leaves and seedlings	YABBY3	Axial regulator
CIYABBY3	DNA-binding transcription factor activity	regulation of transcription, DNA- templated, multicellular organism development, abaxial cell fate specification	transcription factor complex	Nucleus	Leaves and seedlings	YABBY2	Putative axial- regulator
CIYABBY4	DNA-binding transcription factor activity	chromatin assembly or disassembly, regulation of transcription, DNA- templated, regulation of translation,	transcription factor complex	Nucleus	Leaves and seedlings	YABBY5	Axial regulator
ClYABBY5		multicellular organism development		Cytoplasm	leaves	INO	Outer integument Growth
ClYABBY6		multicellular organism development, cellular process		chloroplast	Leaves and seedlings	INO	Outer integument Growth
CIYABBY7	DNA-binding transcription factor activity	nectary development, regulation of anthocyanin biosynthetic process, specification of floral organ identity, Stamen development, style development, polarity specification of adaxial/abaxial axis	transcription factor complex	Nucleus		CRC	Polarity establishment in carpel and nectary development.
CIYABBY8		regulation of gene expression, cellular nitrogen compound biosynthetic process, regulation of nitrogen compound metabolic process, regulation of cellular macromolecule biosynthetic process		Nucleus	Leaves and seedlings	YABBY 5	Axial regulator

Table 3: ClYABBY genes targeting Putative miRNA functions along with their targeted genes.

miRNA	Targetting Gene	Function	Reference
Cla-miR156c	ClYABBY6	Suppress adventitious root development.	(M. Xu et al., 2016a)
Cla-miR157b	ClYABBY6	Responsible for the temporal expression pattern of most SPL	(M. Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2016b)
Cla-miR159a	ClYABBY6	Mediate strong silencing of GAMYB to enable normal growth.	(M. Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2016a)
Cla-miR162	ClYABBY7	Not Available	
Cla-miRN820	ClYABBY6	Associated with epigenetic modifications	(Nosaka et al., 2013)
Cla-miRN825	ClYABBY8	Regulate plant defence responses to pathogens	(M. Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2016a)
Cla-miR157a	ClYABBY6	Responsible for the temporal expression pattern of most SPL	(M. Xu et al., 2016b)
		genes.	
Cla-miR159b	ClYABBY6	Mediate strong silencing of GAMYB to enable normal	(Nosaka et al., 2013)
		growth.	
Cla-miRN812	ClYABBY1	Not available	

Location of chromosomes and assessment of gene duplication of watermelon YABBY genes: The distribution of the examined Citrullus lanatus subsp. vulgaris var. 97103 YABBY genes on chromosomes showed that different chromosomes included ClYABBY genes. The most YABBY genes were found on chromosome 5, and there were 2 on it.. There was just one YABBY gene on chromosomes 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, and 11. Contrarily, it was discovered that chromosomes 3, 4, 7, and 9 did not have the YABBY gene (Supplementary Fig. S4). In order to evaluate segmental and tandem duplication of the ClYABBY gene family in chromosomal position, synteny analysis for ClYABBY genes was also carried out (Fig 2a). While ClYABBY7 and ClYABBY6 genes were clustered together on the same chromosome,

it was possible that these genes evolved via tandem duplication in the watermelon *YABBY* genes because three homologs gene pairs were spread unevenly throughout the watermelon genome (Fig. S4). The comparison research shows that the genomic family has expanded due to the lower number of genes that are shared between watermelon and other members of the Cucurbit family. Watermelon and Arabidopsis only share eight genes, but watermelon and bottle gourd share 12 genes, according to a dual syntenic analysis. Additionally, watermelon and melon share 7 genes, whereas watermelon and musa share just 1, revealing their evolutionary ties within the cucurbita family (Fig 2b)



Fig 2(a): Genome-wide syntemy analysis of *StYAB* genes showing the dominance of segmental duplication and rare occurrence of tandem duplication. Joining lines showing the duplicated *StYAB* genes in the genome. Fig 2(b): Dual syntemy analysis of Potato-



Arabidopsis, Potato-Tomato, and Potato-Chili. Orange bars represents chromosomes of potato while green bars represent chromosomes of Arabidopsis, Tomato, and Chili respectively. Red lines showing the duplicated genes in the respective genomes.

Additionally, TB Tools software was used to determine which produced Ks and Ka values, followed by a manual the date of gene duplication using pairwise alignment, calculation of Ka/Ks (Fig 3). The ratio of non

synonymous (Ka) to synonymous (Ks) mutation was indicated as Ka/Ks. Ks displays the number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site, whereas Ka shows the number of non synonymous substitutions per non synonymous site. The *ClYABBY5/ClYABBY6* pair had a ratio of 0.36, while the *ClYABBY2/ClYABBY1* pair had a ratio of 0.17. The homologs group *ClYABBY2/ClYABBY1* tandem gene duplication date was anticipated to be 43.2 Mya, which was the greatest, while

the segmental duplication date for the paralogous pair *ClYABBY8/ClYABBY4* was predicted to be 30.7 Mya, which was the lowest. Segmental duplication date for the final paralogous pair, *ClYABBY5/ClYABBY6*, was estimated to be 34.2 Mya (Table S3). In the watermelon, all 3 paralogous group pairs had Ka/Ks ratios larger than 0.3 but lower than 1, which raises the possibility of significant functional divergence following duplication brought on by purifying selection



Figure 3: Time of gene duplication estimated for different paralogous pairs of watermelon *ClYAB* genes on the basis of Ks and Ka values. Analyses were conducted using Tbtools (Software). Ka/Ks represents the ratio of nonsynonymous (Ka) versus Synonymous (Ks) mutations.

Analysis of CREs: The presence and arrangement of different CREs at the binding site of TFs on the promoter region influence the spatiotemporal transcriptomic expression of genes. To assess the potential roles of genes, numerous CREs can be analyzed in silico (Bulow & Hehl, 2016) (Jones & Vandepoele, 2020). CR components with functions like anaerobic induction, ethylene production, sensitivity to light, wound healing, hormone-specific stress, and drought were noticed (Fig. 5;Table. S4). Interestingly, the ARE element, which was necessary for anaerobic induction, was present in 6 of the 8 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, ClYABBY4, ClYAABY6, ClYABBY7, and ClYABBY8). There were also 6 light responsive elements. Only one ClYABBY1 gene displayed the GT1 motif, whereas the G-box has three ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, and ClYABBY4), the AAAC motif has only one ClYAABY2 gene, the TCT motif includes four ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY2, ClYABBY6, ClYABBY7, and ClYAABY8), the TCCC motif has two ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY7, ClYABBY8), and I-box possess 1 ClYABBY7 gene, Box 4 elements, a piece of a conserved DNA module involved in light responsiveness, were only found in 2 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY4 and ClYABBY7). The ABRE element was present in three ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, and ClYABBY4) and was involved in the abscisic acid response. 4 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, ClYABBY5, and ClYABBY8) have the salicylic acid responsive TCA element, while 4 other

ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY2, ClYABBY3, ClYABBY6, and ClYABBY7) have the salicylic acid responsive TCA element. Only 2 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY4, ClYABBY6) showed the wound-responsive WUN motif. ClYABBY2, ClYABBY5, and ClYABBY6 showed TC-rich repeats that demonstrate responses in defence and stress, respectively. The MBS element was present in 2 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY2, ClYABBY4) and was connected to drought-inducibility, The auxin responsive TGA element was present in 2 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY6, ClYABBY8). Ethylene response element ERE is present in 3 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY5, and ClYABBY8). The MYB, which was involved in plant development, is present in 6 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY4, ClYABBY5, ClYABBY6, ClYABBY7, and ClYABBY8), but only one ClYABBY8 gene possesses the gibberellin responsive GARE-motif, and another gibberellin responsive element p-box contains only 1 ClYABBY2 gene. The STRE, which was engaged in stress-related processes, was demonstrated by 5 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, ClYABBY3, ClYABBY7, and ClYABBY8). Only 1 ClYABBY2 gene includes the ABRE4 which mediates ABA-dependent stress responses, as well as ABRE3a, a positive regulator of abiotic stress and ABA signalling. The MYC, which stimulates the expression of proliferative genes, was found in 2 ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY2, ClYABBY8). No ClYABBY genes, however, have been discovered to be deficient in the previously stated cis regulatory regions.

The eight watermelon *YABBY* genes' identified CREs are displayed in (Fig 4) and Table S4 along with their functional annotations.



Nisa et al.,



Fig 4: *Cis*-regulatory elements in putative *ClYAB* promoters which are associated with different plant developmental process.

Transcriptomic analysis of watermelon *YABBY* **genes:** With the aid of high throughput sequencing, the differentiating expression patterns of all watermelon *YABBY* genes at distinct developmental stages were also examined (Song, Joshi, DiPiazza, *et al.*, 2020) (Song, Joshi, & Joshi, 2020). There were two different kinds of studies on the effects of salt and drought stress. Watermelon seedlings were subjected to short-term salt stress in order to study and discover genes and pathways associated with response to salt stress treatments, such as differences in photosystem II photosynthetic efficiency and free amino acids. A heat map displaying the expression of the watermelon *ClYABBY* genes (Fig 5). Out of the eight genes that were subjected to salt stress, *ClYABBY2, ClYAABY3, ClYABBY4, ClYABBY6*, and *ClYABBY8* showed expression in five of them. *ClYABBY1* nevertheless displayed expression, but in the control group. The ethylene biosynthesis signaling pathways were activated during salt stress treatments, according to the hypothesis, and photosystem efficiency was decreased under salt stress. Therefore, the genes that were expressed play a role in the ethylene biosynthesis signaling pathway, which explains why they were highly expressed. However, *ClYABBY1*, *ClYABBY7*, and *ClYABBY5* play a role in pathways that increase photosynthesis by enhancing the efficiency of photosystem II. This is why they were not expressed in watermelon seedlings under salt stress (Song, Joshi, & Joshi, 2020)



Fig 5: Heat map shows the expression profile of the *CIYAB* genes in leaves of watermelon cultivar under different levels of Drought stress.

The goal of the drought stress experiment was to explore the accumulation of drought-induced citrulline in watermelon leaves by monitoring stress treatments using physiological measurements. Watermelon seedlings were stressed in a semi-controlled environment and open field. A heat map represents the expression profiles of the

watermelon *ClYABBY* genes (Fig 6) Because only 5 hits from the available RNA seq data were identified, the expression of 5 of the 8 *ClYABBY* genes, namely *ClYABBY1, ClYABBY2, ClYABBY3, ClYABBY4,* and *ClYABBY8,* was detected. Based on the assumption, these genes play a part in the biosynthesis of citrulline, which accumulated in the leaves of watermelon seedlings under

drought stress conditions, while *ClYABBY5*, *ClYABBY6*, and *ClYABBY7* are thought to play a minor role in the biosynthesis of citrulline or may not be directly associated to its creation in young watermelon plants, which may explain why they did not exhibit expression (Song, Joshi, DiPiazza, *et al.*, 2020).



Fig 6: Heat map shows the expression profile of the *ClYAB* genes in seedlings of Watermelon cultivar under different levels of salt stress.

Putative miRNA targets in watermelon: The results showed that nine miRNAs in total were discovered, and four of the eight *ClYABBY* genes were their targets. None of these miRNAs targeted the remaining four ClYABBY genes (ClYABBY2, ClYABBY3, ClYABBY4, and ClYABBY5) (Table S6). These miRNAs were between 20 and 22 amino acids long. Per the ClYABBY gene, there were between 156 and 825 miRNAs that targeted these genes. Cla-miR156c, Cla-miR157b, Cla-miR159, ClamiR820, Cla-miR157a, and Cla-miR159b were six of the nine mature miRNAs that target ClYABBY6, while ClYABBY7, ClYABBY8, and ClYABBY1 were each targeted by just one mature miRNA. Cla-miR162, ClamiR825, and Cla-miR812 all target ClYABBY7, ClYABBY8, and ClYABBY1, respectively (Table S6). Therefore, ClYABBY6 was the sole gene that had the greatest number of miRNAs targeting it. The most targeted group was Group INO, which had six mature miRNAs target it. In contrast, just one miRNA targeted Group CRC, Group YAB5, and Group YAB3, whereas no miRNAs targeted Group YAB2.

Discussion

YABBY PSTrFs were divided into 5 families (Group AtINO, AtCRC, AtYAB5, AtAFO/AtYAB3, AtYAB2), following the phylogenetic and domain analysis patterns of A. thaliana (D. Lijavetzky, P. Carbonero, & J. Vicente-Carbajosa, 2003), citrus (Wu, Fu, & Yi, 2016), and eggplant (Wei et al., 2018). The Cucurbit Genomics Database's recently released data was utilized to identify ClYABBY genes at the genome level (CuGenDB) (http://cucurbitgenomics.org/)(Table. 1). Using phylogenetic analysis, the watermelon's 8 YABBY genes were divided into five groups (Group AtINO, AtCRC, AtYAB5, AtAFO/AtYAB3, AtYAB2) (Fig. 1, Table. 1). Watermelon had less YABBY genes than rice (30 OsYABBY) (X. Yang & Tuskan, 2006), Arabidopsis (36 AtYABBY) (X. Yang & Tuskan, 2006), tomato (34 SiYABBY) (Cai et al., 2013), banana (74 MaYABBY) (Dong, Hu, & Xie, 2016), and Chinese cabbage (76 BrATYABBY) (Ma, Li, Wang, Tang, & Xiong, 2015).

Exons and introns were present in all the genes, albeit in varying amounts (Table. 1). Genes with the same number of exons and introns were classified into the same clade following analysis. The watermelon *ClYABBY* genes found in the same family (Groups) generally had the similar exon-intron architecture, however variants were found in other families. Similar intron-exon configurations have also been found in Arabidopsis, rice, and soybean (Diego Lijavetzky, Pilar Carbonero, & Jesús Vicente-Carbajosa, 2003) (Gu *et al.*, 2013) suggesting that these structures were evolutionary preserved.

The examination of conserved motifs added more support to the classification of ClYABBY genes. To find the conserved motifs, the MEME analysis tool was used to upload all of the ClYABBY protein sequences. There were consequently a total of fifteen conserved motifs found. The length of the motifs of ClYABBY proteins discovered by MEME ranged from 6 to 50 amino acids. All of the watermelon YABBY proteins shared Motif-1, or YABBY (Fig. 2). Motif-1 and Motif-2 are present in all Group INO proteins as well as all Group YAB2, YAB3, CRC, and YAB5 proteins with the exception of ClYAABY8 which lack Motif-2. Extra particular motifs found in several YABBY proteins may be important for various functions. The most intricate motif pattern, Motif-5, was found in the YABBY proteins from Group YAB5, and Motif-10 is unique to this subgroup. In contrast to Group YAB5, the members of the YAB2 group have a simpler motif pattern, although some of the group members also feature group-specific patterns, like Motif-4. Additionally, the YAB3 group included groupspecific motifs like Motif-3 and Motif-6. In order to comprehend the probable functions of the Group CRCspecific motifs, the GO annotations of the Group CRC genes in Arabidopsis were first examined. We discovered something intriguing: In contrast to the Arabidopsis YABBY genes (Table 2) in other groups, AtCRC gene in Group CRC have responsibilities in "Polarity establishment in carpel and nectary development," which is comparable to GO annotations of watermelon ClYABBY7 gene in Group CRC which have roles in "nectary development," "definition of floral organ identity," "Stamen development," "style development," and "polarity specification of adaxial/a (Table 2). Rice (Yamaguchi et al., 2004), Arabidopsis (Alvarez & Smyth, 1999; Bowman & Smyth, 1999; Lee et al., 2005) and cucumber (Yin et al., 2022) have all shown similar functions for genes belonging to the CRC clade. This suggests that these genes function similarly in Arabidopsis, rice, cucumber, and watermelon.

The distribution of motifs in the watermelon *YABBY* proteins (Fig. 2) shows how they evolved, as inferred from the phylogenetic tree (Gupta *et al.*, 2015) (Malviya *et al.*, 2015). The alignment of the watermelon *ClYABBY* protein sequences and the motif data analysis by Motif finder and domain analysis by NCBI CDD all pointed to

a highly conserved YABBY domain. Evolutionarily, YABBY PSTrFs have been preserved in a variety of plants. In addition to the YABBY domain, 14 newly discovered unique motifs were identified and distributed differently among the ClYABBY genes (Fig. 2). Consequently, one or two motifs were revealed to be preserved in the same group of distinct comparative crop species; however, some differences were observed between motifs of other groups, suggesting that members of the same group functioned similarly. Furthermore, the ClYABBY genes' structural arrangement was retained throughout all five separated groups, including other species such as Arabidopsis, Cucumber, and Musk melon (Dong et al., 2016; Diego Lijavetzky et al., 2003; Nasim, Malviya, Kumar, & Yadav, 2016; X. Yang & Tuskan, 2006). In addition, an analysis of the subcellular localization of ClYABBY proteins using the online tool WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/) revealed that all ClYABBY proteins had nuclear localization, with only minor variances among the cytoplasm, peroxysomes, and chloroplasts, all of which were typically found in the nucleus. (Table 2, Fig S3). A gene's position on a chromosome can be used to evaluate gene duplication. It is known that segmental duplication occurs when two or more genes from the same species are located on distinct chromosomes of the same species. Tandem duplication occurs when two or more genes are present on the same chromosome (Panchy, Lehti-Shiu, & Shiu, 2016). Despite the fact that the high number of watermelon YABBY genes on chromosome 5 (Fig. S4) indicates the occurrence of tandem duplication, some of the ClYABBY genes were also displaying segmental duplication (Fig. S4). In the YABBY family of genes, segmental duplication predominated in chickpea (Nasim et al., 2016) and pigeon pea (Malviya et al., 2015). While domain duplication may have contributed to the larger number of YABBY genes throughout the evolution of eukaryotic plants, gene duplication is the primary method involved in the proliferation of gene families (Moore & Purugganan, 2005; Taylor & Raes, 2004).

Understanding amino acid substitution requires an understanding of the Ka/Ks ratio (Fig. 3). When Ka/Ks is less than 1, purifying selection takes place, and when Ka/Ks is greater than 1, positive selection takes place (Hurst, 2002; Ziheng Yang & Bielawski, 2000). Variations in selection pressure typically result in changes to a protein's unique amino acid pattern, which were crucial for understanding how various proteins operate (Morgan, Loughran, Walsh, Harrison, & O'Connell, 2010). There was just a small amount of variation in Ka/Ks ratios between ClYABBY genes. Despite the changes, all anticipated values of Ka/Ks ranged from 0.17 to 0.36, which is less than 1, indicating that the sequences of YABBY present in all groups underwent strong purifying selection pressure and that only a small number of sites may have been impacted by

positive selection only during the process of evolution (Fig.3).

Using the available RNA seq data, the expression of all ClYABBY genes in several watermelon experiments was examined. Five ClYABBY genes were found to express in the leaf tissue of seedlings during a drought stress experiment. As stated in the results, the cisregulatory element MBS in ClYABBY2 and ClYABBY4 has a role in drought inducibility and expressed itself in this experiment. Furthermore, Go annotations of these genes in comparison to Arabidopsis showed how these gene expressions occurs in leaf and stem tissue. The functions of ClYABBY2, "abaxial cell fate specification" and "inflorescence meristem growth," which are consistent with those of Arabidopsis (Siegfried et al., 1999), confirm their function in the leaves of early watermelon seedlings under drought stress. ClYABBY2 is a member of Group YAB3, which also includes the axial regulators AtYAB3 and AtAFO Arabidopsis genes. When watermelon seedlings are subjected to drought stress, above mentioned genes are engaged in pathways that create citrulline, which was used in biosynthesis (Song, Joshi, DiPiazza, et al., 2020)

However, it was discovered in additional studies on salt stress that mechanisms for the manufacture of ethylene are activated under salt stress. Therefore, 5 ClYABBY genes were expressed in watermelon seedlings, indicating that they may be involved in ethylene responsiveness and stress-mediated response. ClYABBY6 has CREs TC-rich repeats, which have functions associated in defence and stress responsiveness, and ClYABBY8 has CRE ERE, which has functions involved in ethylene responsiveness, as described in the results. Since photosystem II efficiency decreases under salt stress, it follows that the genes that did not exhibit any expression should have a role in light sensitivity and photosynthetic activity. This supports the cause for the expression of these genes. ClYABBY1 has the light responsive CREs G-box and Gt1 motif, while ClAYBBY7 has the light responsive CREs I-box, TCCC-motif, and TCT-motif (Song, Joshi, & Joshi, 2020)

From the developmental stage to pathogen defence and maintaining healthy internal conditions, microRNAs play a critical role in controlling plant growth (Carbone et al., 2019; Samad, 2017; Spanudakis, 2014; Terzi, 2008). Regardless of the type of species they were found in, miRNAs are present in the majority of plant species in a manner that is preserved, which explains their specific function. The majority of the ClYABBY genes have transcriptional activity linked with them, which suggests that they inhibit miRNA activity. Due to this, members of the Cla-miR156 and Cla-miR825 families only targeted one out of the eight ClYABBY genes (Table. S6). Only one ClYABBY6 gene was targeted by each of the two Cla-miR157 (Cla-miR157a, Cla-miR157b) and Cla-miR159 (Cla-miR159a, Cla-miR159b), whereas Cla-miR162 was targeted by ClYABBY7. Because these two genes are on the same chromosome 5, it is likely that the majority of their origin and activity is on chromosome 5. Numerous plant species include Cla-miR156c, which inhibits the growth of adventitious roots (Xu, Chen, Ying, & Cai, 2016a). The majority of SPL genes in different plant species exhibit a temporal expression pattern controlled by the miR157 family (Xu, Chen, Ying, & Cai, 2016b), whereas the miR159 family plays a role in the strong suppression of GAMYB necessary for proper growth (P. Xu et al., 2016a) Most plant species have Cla-miR820, which ClYABBY6 targets, associated with epigenetic modifications. (Nosaka et al., 2013), While the role of Cla-miR825 that ClYABBY8 targets is to control how plants respond to pathogens in terms of defence (P. Xu et al., 2016a). We can infer potential roles for the targeted genes in watermelon by studying the actions of miRNAs. Due to a dearth of research resources, cla-miR812 and cla-miR162, which were the targets of ClYAABY7 and ClYABBY1, have no known functions. (Table. 3) To help us understand the roles played by miRNAs, more investigation is needed. Table 3:

Conclusion

The CIYABBY PSTrFs gene family inside the watermelon genome was explored in this comprehensive study. The research focused on the structural and functional properties of the eight ClYABBY genes, classifying them into five separate groups based on Arabidopsis classification. These genes were essential not only for normal watermelon growth and development, but also for alleviating salt and droughtinduced stress. Furthermore, the study examined miRNAs that target ClYABBY genes, revealing their role in defense mechanisms and stress responses, notably under salt and drought stress. This study's computational results offer potential for applications in molecular cloning, gene expression profiling, and exploring relationships with various transcription factors (TFs). The surprising variations in YABBY gene counts among different plant species are an intriguing part of our research. While certain plants, such as tomato, pepper, and potato, have almost comparable quantities of YABBY genes, others, such as bottle gourd, melon, wax gourd, and watermelon, have far fewer. The hypothesis suggests that the differences in gene numbers were a result of gene duplication and loss events that occurred during plant evolution. Furthermore, it was discovered that segmental duplications were more important in the expansion of the YABBY gene family in watermelon than tandem duplications.. This finding highlights the mechanisms responsible for the diversification of this gene family within the watermelon genome. The research also tissue-specific expression investigated patterns, revealing that *ClYABBY* genes exhibited high expression levels in roots and seedlings. This information sheds light on the critical roles that these genes play in early

plant growth and root function. Finally, distinct CREs linked to responses to light, wound, drought, auxin, stress, salicylic acid, and abscisic acid (ABA) in seedlings and roots were identified through cisregulatory element (CRE) studies. This study enhances the understanding of plant adaptation and stress response systems, with direct implications for agriculture and plant science. In conclusion, the research offers valuable insights into the activities and regulatory networks of *ClYABBY* genes, serving as a valuable resource for future plant biology research.

Authors' Contribution

References

- Alvarez, J., & Smyth, D. R. (1999). CRABS CLAW and SPATULA, two Arabidopsis genes that controcarpel development in parallel with AGAMOUS. *Development*, **126**(11), 2377-2386.
- Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E., & Noble, W. S. (2015). The MEME suite. *Nucleic acids research*, 43(W1), W39-W49.
- Bondarenko, V. S., & Gelfand, M. S. (2016). Evolution of the Exon-Intron Structure in Ciliate Genomes. *PLoS One*, **11**(9), e0161476. 10.1371/journal.pone.0161476
- Bowman, J. L. (2000). The *YABBY* gene family and abaxial cell fate. *Current opinion in plant biology*, **3**(1), 17-22.
- Bowman, J. L., & Smyth, D. R. (1999). CRABS CLAW, a gene that regulates carpel and nectary development in Arabidopsis, encodes a novel protein with zinc finger and helix-loop-helix domains. *Development*, **126**(11), 2387-2396.
- Bowman, J. L., Smyth, D. R., & Meyerowitz, E. M. (1989). Genes directing flower development in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, **1**(1), 37-52.
- Bulow, L., & Hehl, R. (2016). Bioinformatic Identification of Conserved Cis-Sequences in Coregulated Genes. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Methods Mol Biol*, **1482**, 233-245.
- Buttar, Z. A., Yang, Y., Sharif, R., Nan Wu, S., Xie, Y., & Wang, C. (2020). Genome wide identification, characterization, and expression analysis of *YABBY*-gene family in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Agronomy*, **10**(8), 1189.
- Cai, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, C., Zhang, T., Hu, T., Ye, J., Ye, Z. (2013). Genome-wide analysis of plantspecific Dof transcription factor family in tomato. *J Integr Plant Biol*, 55(6), 552-566.
- Cannon, S. B., Mitra, A., Baumgarten, A., Young, N. D., & May, G. (2004). The roles of segmental and tandem gene duplication in the evolution of large gene families in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC plant biology*, 4(1), 1-21.
- Carbone, F., Bruno, L., Perrotta, G., Bitonti, M. B., Muzzalupo, I., & Chiappetta, A. (2019). Identification of miRNAs involved in fruit ripening by deep sequencing of Olea europaea L.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no potential conflict of interest.

transcriptome. PLoS One, **14**(8), e0221460.*PLoS One*, *14*(8), e0221460.

- Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., & Xia, R. (2020). TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. Molecular plant, 13(8), 1194-1202.
- Chen, Y.-Y., Hsiao, Y.-Y., Chang, S.-B., Zhang, D., Lan, S.-R., Liu, Z.-J., & Tsai, W.-C. (2020). Genomewide identification of *YABBY* genes in Orchidaceae and their expression patterns in Phalaenopsis orchid. *Genes*, **11**(9), 955.
- Dong, C., Hu, H., & Xie, J. (2016). Genome-wide analysis of the DNA-binding with one zinc finger (Dof) transcription factor family in bananas. *Genome*, **59**(12), 1085-1100.
- Eckardt, N. A. (2010). *YABBY* genes and the development and origin of seed plant leaves: American Society of Plant Biologists.
- Finet, C., Floyd, S. K., Conway, S. J., Zhong, B., Scutt, C. P., & Bowman, J. L. (2016). Evolution of the *YABBY* gene family in seed plants. *Evolution & development*, **18**(2), 116-126.
- Floyd, S. K., & Bowman, J. L. (2007). The ancestral developmental tool kit of land plants. *International journal of plant sciences*, 168(1), 1-35.
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., & Bairoch, A. (2005). Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server *The proteomics protocols handbook* (pp. 571-607): Springer.
- Golz, J. F., Roccaro, M., Kuzoff, R., & Hudson, A. (2004). GRAMINIFOLIA promotes growth and polarity of Antirrhinum leaves.
- Gu, X., Zou, Y., Su, Z., Huang, W., Zhou, Z., Arendsee, Z., & Zeng, Y. (2013). An update of DIVERGE software for functional divergence analysis of protein family. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 30(7), 1713-1719.
- Gupta, S., Malviya, N., Kushwaha, H., Nasim, J., Bisht, N. C., Singh, V., & Yadav, D. (2015). Insights into structural and functional diversity of Dof (DNA)

www.Joarps.org binding with one finger) transcription factor. Planta, 241(3), 549-562.

- Higo, K., Ugawa, Y., Iwamoto, M., & Higo, H. (1998). PLACE: a database of plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **26**(1), 358-359.
- Higo, K., Ugawa, Y., Iwamoto, M., & Korenaga, T. (1999). Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database: 1999. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 27(1), 297-300
- Horton, P., Park, K.-J., Obayashi, T., & Nakai, K. (2006). Protein subcellular localization prediction with Wolf PSORT. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 4th Asia-Pacific bioinformatics conference.
- Hou, H., Wu, P., Gao, L., Zhang, C., & Hou, X. (2019). Characterization and expression profile analysis of *YABBY* family genes in Pak-choi (Brassica rapa ssp. chinensis) under abiotic stresses and hormone treatments. *Plant Growth Regulation*, **87**(3), 421-432.

http://cucurbitgenomics.org/. http://pfam.xfam.org/.

- Hu, B., Jin, J., Guo, A. Y., Zhang, H., Luo, J., & Gao, G. (2015). GSDS 2.0: an upgraded gene feature visualization server. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Bioinformatics*, **31**(8), 1296-1297.
- Huang, Z., Van Houten, J., Gonzalez, G., Xiao, H., & van der Knaap, E. (2013). Genome-wide identification, phylogeny and expression analysis of SUN, OFP and YABBY gene family in tomato. *Molecular genetics and genomics*, 288(3), 111-129.
- Hurst, L. D. (2002). The Ka/Ks ratio: diagnosing the form of sequence evolution. *TRENDS in Genetics*, 9(18), 486-487.
- Jones, D. M., & Vandepoele, K. (2020). Identification and evolution of gene regulatory networks: insights from comparative studies in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, **54**, 42-48.
- Kanaya, E., Nakajima, N., & Okada, K. (2002). Nonsequence-specific DNA binding by the Filamentous Flower protein from Arabidopsis thaliana is reduced by EDTA. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **277**(14), 11957-11964.
- Koralewski, T. E., & Krutovsky, K. V. (2011). Evolution of exon-intron structure and alternative splicing. PloS one, **6**(3), e18055.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., & Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **35**(6), 1547-1549.
- Kumaran, M. K., Bowman, J. L., & Sundaresan, V. (2002). YABBY polarity genes mediate the repression of KNOX homeobox genes in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 14(11), 2761-2770.

- Kyriacou, M. C., Leskovar, D. I., Colla, G., & Rouphael, Y. (2018). Watermelon and melon fruit quality: The genotypic and agro-environmental factors implicated. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **234**, 393-408.
- Lee, J.-Y., Baum, S. F., Alvarez, J., Patel, A., Chitwood, D. H., & Bowman, J. L. (2005). Activation of CRABS CLAW in the nectaries and carpels of Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 17(1), 25-36.
- Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2018). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic acids research*, 46(D1), D493-D496.
- Li, Z., Li, G., Cai, M., Priyadarshani, S. V., Aslam, M., Zhou, Q., Qin, Y. (2019). Genome-wide analysis of the YABBY transcription factor family in pineapple and functional identification of AcYABBY4 involvement in salt stress. International journal of molecular sciences, 20(23), 5863.
- Lijavetzky, D., Carbonero, P., & Vicente-Carbajosa, J. (2003). Genome-wide comparative phylogenetic analysis of the rice and Arabidopsis Dof gene families. *BMC evolutionary biology*, **3**(1), 17.
- Lijavetzky, D., Carbonero, P., & Vicente-Carbajosa, J. (2003). Genome-wide comparative phylogenetic analysis of the rice and Arabidopsis Dof gene families. [Comparative Study
- Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Geer, R. C., Gonzales, N. R., Marchler-Bauer, A. (2020). CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. [Research Support, N.I.H., Intramural]. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **48**(D1), D265-D268.
- Ma, J., Li, M. Y., Wang, F., Tang, J., & Xiong, A. S. (2015). Genome-wide analysis of Dof family transcription factors and their responses to abiotic stresses in Chinese cabbage. *BMC Genomics*, 16, 33-33.
- Malviya, N., Gupta, S., Singh, V., Yadav, M., Bisht, N., Sarangi, B., & Yadav, D. (2015). Genome wide in silico characterization of Dof gene families of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L) Millsp.). *Molecular biology reports*, 42(2), 535-552.
- Maoto, M. M., Beswa, D., & Jideani, A. I. (2019). Watermelon as a potential fruit snack. *International Journal of food properties*, **22**(1), 355-370.
- Mehra, M., Pasricha, V., & Gupta, R. K. (2015). Estimation of nutritional, phytochemical and antioxidant activity of seeds of musk melon (Cucumis melo) and water melon (Citrullus lanatus) and nutritional analysis of their respective oils. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **3**(6), 98-102.
- Moore, R. C., & Purugganan, M. D. (2005). The evolutionary dynamics of plant duplicate genes. *Current opinion in plant biology*, **8**(2), 122-128.
- Morgan, C. C., Loughran, N. B., Walsh, T. A., Harrison, A. J., & O'Connell, M. J. (2010). Positive selection

www.Joarps.org

neighboring functionally essential sites and disease-implicated regions of mammalian reproductive proteins. *BMC evolutionary biology*, **10**(1), 39.

- Nasim, J., Malviya, N., Kumar, R., & Yadav, D. (2016). Genome-wide bioinformatics analysis of Dof transcription factor gene family of chickpea and its comparative phylogenetic assessment with Arabidopsis and rice. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **302**(8), 1009-1026.
- Naz, A., Butt, M. S., Sultan, M. T., Qayyum, M. M. N., & Niaz, R. S. (2014). Watermelon lycopene and allied health claims. *EXCLI journal*, **13**, 650.
- Nosaka, M., Ono, A., Ishiwata, A., Shimizu-Sato, S., Ishimoto, K., Noda, Y., & Sato, Y. (2013). Expression of the rice microRNA miR820 is associated with epigenetic modifications at its own locus. *Genes Genet Syst*, 88(2), 105-112.
- Oberoi, D. P. S., & Sogi, D. S. (2017). Utilization of watermelon pulp for lycopene extraction by response surface methodology. *Food Chemistry*, 232, 316-321.
- Panchy, N., Lehti-Shiu, M., & Shiu, S. H. (2016). Evolution of gene duplication in plants. Plant physiology, **171**(4), 2294-2316.
- Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. BMC Evol Biol, 3, 17.
- Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *PLoS One*, **6**(3), e18055.
- Review]. Plant Physiol, 171(4), 2294-2316.
- Rombauts, S., Déhais, P., Van Montagu, M., & Rouzé, P. (1999). PlantCARE, a plant cis-acting regulatory element database. *Nucleic acids research*, 27(1), 295-296.
- Romdhane, M. B., Haddar, A., Ghazala, I., Jeddou, K. B., Helbert, C. B., & Ellouz-Chaabouni, S. (2017). Optimization of polysaccharides extraction from watermelon rinds: Structure, functional and biological activities. *Food Chemistry*, **216**, 355-364.
- Samad, A. F. A. (2017). MicroRNA and Transcription Factor: Key Players in Plant Regulatory Network. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. **8**, 565.
- Sawa, S., Watanabe, K., Goto, K., Kanaya, E., Morita, E. H., & Okada, K. (1999). Filamentous Flower, a meristem and organ identity gene of Arabidopsis, encodes a protein with a zinc finger and HMGrelated domains. *Genes & development*, **13**(9), 1079-1088.
- Sharif, R., Xie, C., Wang, J., Cao, Z., Zhang, H., Chen, P., & Li, Y. (2020). Genome wide identification, characterization and expression analysis of HD-ZIP gene family in Cucumis sativus L. under biotic and various abiotic stresses. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, **158**, 502-520.

- Siegfried, K. R., Eshed, Y., Baum, S. F., Otsuga, D., Drews, G. N., & Bowman, J. L. (1999). Members of the *YABBY* gene family specify abaxial cell fate in Arabidopsis. *Development*, **126**(18), 4117-4128.
- Song, Q., Joshi, M., & Joshi, V. (2020). Transcriptomic Analysis of Short-Term Salt Stress Response in Watermelon Seedlings. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(17), 6036-6036.
- Song, Q., Joshi, M., DiPiazza, J., & Joshi, V. (2020). Functional relevance of citrulline in the vegetative tissues of watermelon during abiotic stresses. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **11**, 512-512.
- Song, Q., Joshi, M., DiPiazza, J., & Joshi, V. (2020). Functional relevance of citrulline in the vegetative tissues of watermelon during abiotic stresses. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 512.
- Spanudakis, E. (2014). The role of microRNAs in the control of flowering time. *J Exp Bot*.
- Taylor, J. S., & Raes, J. (2004). Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new genes and old ideas. Annu. Rev. Genet., 38, 615-643.
- Terzi, L. C. (2008). Regulation of Flowering Time by RNA Processing. *Springer Link*.
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic acids research*, 22(22), 4673-4680.
- Toriba, T., Harada, K., Takamura, A., Nakamura, H., Ichikawa, H., Suzaki, T., & Hirano, H.-Y. (2007). Molecular characterization the *YABBY* gene family in Oryza sativa and expression analysis of Os*YABBY*1. *Molecular genetics and genomics*, 277(5), 457-468.
- Villanueva, J. M., Broadhvest, J., Hauser, B. A., Meister, R. J., Schneitz, K., & Gasser, C. S. (1999). INNER NO OUTER regulates abaxial–adaxial patterning in Arabidopsis ovules. *Genes & development*, 13(23), 3160-3169.
- Wang, P., Wang, S., Chen, Y., Xu, X., Guang, X., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Genome-wide analysis of the MADS-Box gene family in watermelon. *Computational biology and chemistry*, **80**, 341-350.
- Wang, Y., Li, J., & Paterson, A. H. (2013). MCScanXtransposed: detecting transposed gene duplications based on multiple colinearity scans. [Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.]. *Bioinformatics*, 29(11), 1458-1460
- Wei, Q., Wang, W., Hu, T., Hu, H., Mao, W., Zhu, Q., & Bao, C. (2018). Genome-wide identification and characterization of Dof transcription factors in eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). *PeerJ*, 6, e4481.

- Wen, C. L., Cheng, Q., Zhao, L., Mao, A., Yang, J., Yu, S.,Xu, Y. (2016). Identification and characterisation of Dof transcription factors in the cucumber genome. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Sci Rep, 6, 23072.
- Wu, J., Fu, L., & Yi, H. (2016). Genome-Wide Identification of the Transcription Factors Involved in Citrus Fruit Ripening from the Transcriptomes of a Late-Ripening Sweet Orange Mutant and Its Wild Type. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *PLoS One*, **11**(4), e0154330.
- Xu, M., Hu, T., Zhao, J., Park, M.-Y., Earley, K. W., Wu, G., Poethig, R. S. (2016a). Developmental Functions of miR156-Regulated Squamosa Promoter Binding Protein-Like (SPL) Genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS genetics*, **12**(8), e1006263-e1006263.
- Xu, M., Hu, T., Zhao, J., Park, M.-Y., Earley, K. W., Wu, G., Poethig, R. S. (2016b). Developmental Functions of miR156-Regulated Squamosa Promoter Binding Protein-Like (SPL) Genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLOS Genetics*, **12**(8), e1006263.
- Xu, P., Chen, H., Ying, L., & Cai, W. (2016a). AtDOF5.4/OBP4, a DOF Transcription Factor Gene that Negatively Regulates Cell Cycle Progression and Cell Expansion in Arabidopsis thaliana. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. Sci Rep, 6, 27705.
- Xu, P., Chen, H., Ying, L., & Cai, W. (2016b). AtDOF5.4/OBP4, a DOF Transcription Factor Gene that Negatively Regulates Cell Cycle Progression and Cell Expansion in Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci Rep, 6, 27705-27705.
- Yamada, T., Ito, M., & Kato, M. (2004). YABBY2homologue expression in lateral organs of Amborella trichopoda (Amborellaceae). International journal of plant sciences, 165(6), 917-924.
- Yamaguchi, T., Nagasawa, N., Kawasaki, S., Matsuoka, M., Nagato, Y., & Hirano, H.-Y. (2004). The *YABBY* gene DROOPING LEAF regulates carpel specification and midrib development in Oryza sativa. *The Plant Cell*, **16**(2), 500-509.

CC

- Yanagisawa, S., & Schmidt, R. J. (1999). Diversity and similarity among recognition sequences of Dof transcription factors. *The Plant Journal*, **17**(2), 209-214.
- Yang, X., & Tuskan, G. A. (2006). Divergence of the Dof gene families in poplar, Arabidopsis, and rice suggests multiple modes of gene evolution after duplication. *Plant physiology*, **142**(3), 820-830.
- Yang, Z., & Bielawski, J. P. (2000). Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, **15**(12), 496-503.
- Yang, Z., Gong, Q., Wang, L., Jin, Y., Xi, J., Li, Z., . Chen, Q. (2018). Genome-wide study of YABBY genes in upland cotton and their expression patterns under different stresses. *Frontiers in* genetics, 9, 33.
- Yin, S., Li, S., Gao, Y., Bartholomew, E. S., Wang, R., Yang, H., Liu, X. (2022). Genome-Wide Identification of *YABBY* Gene Family in Cucurbitaceae and Expression Analysis in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.). *Genes*, **13**(3), 467.
- Yin, S., Li, S., Gao, Y., Bartholomew, E. S., Wang, R., Yang, H., Liu, X. (2022). Genome-wide identification of YABBY gene family in Cucurbitaceae and expression analysis in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.). Genes, 13(3), 467.
- Yuan, J., Shen, C., Xin, J., Li, Z., Li, X., & Zhou, J. (2020). Genome-wide Characterization and Expression Analysis of *YABBY* Gene Family in Three Cultivars of Cucurbita Linn. And Their Response of Salt Stress in Cucurbita Moschata.
- Zhang, S., Wang, L., Sun, X., Li, Y., Yao, J., Nocker, S. v., & Wang, X. (2019). Genome-wide analysis of the YABBY gene family in grapevine and functional characterization of VvYABBY4. *Frontiers in plant science*, **10**, 1207.
- Zhao, S.-P., Lu, D., Yu, T.-F., Ji, Y.-J., Zheng, W.-J., Zhang, S.-X., . Cui, X.-Y. (2017). Genome-wide analysis of the *YABBY* family in soybean and functional identification of Gm*YABBY*10 involvement in high salt and drought stresses. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **119**, 132-146.

ublisher's note: JOARPS remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. To

view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.